## Foundations of mathematics

### 1.1 Logic and sets

Definition 1.1. logical statement, proposition
A logical statement (or proposition) is a statement, which means a meaningful declarative sentence, that is either true or false.

- no opinions, -no questions, no alternative fact
- no self-contrudictory statements

Example 1.2. Which of these are logical statements?
(a) Hamburg is a city. Yes, it is tree.
(b) $1+1=2$. Yes, it is true
(c) The number 5 is smaller than the number 2. Yes, it is $f_{\text {ale }}$
(d) Good morning! $N_{0}$ !
(e) $x+1=1$. No! It will get one, if $X$ gets a value.
(f) Today is Tuesday. No! (predicate) (predicate)

## Logical operations

In the following, we will consider two logical statements $A$ and $B$.
Definition 1.3. Negation $\neg A$ ("not $A$ ")
$\neg A$ is true if and only if $A$ is false.


Example 1.4. What are the negations of the following logical statements?
(a) The wine bottle is full. $=\boldsymbol{A}$

$$
\tau A=\text { The via bole is net full. }
$$

$\rightarrow$ It is not the same as: The wine bottle is empty.
(b) The number 5 is smaller than the number $2 . \approx A=5<2$
$\neg A=5$ is greater or equal to 2 .

$$
\tau A=5 \geq 2
$$

(c) All students are in the lecture hall. $=\boldsymbol{A}$
$1 A=$ Not all shededseare is the lectrochill.
$=$ There is a sheet that is not in the lednenbel.

Definition 1.5. Conjunction $A \wedge B$ (" $A$ and $B$ ")
$A \wedge B$ is true if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are true.

Truth table

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \wedge B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |

Definition 1.6. Disjunction $A \vee B$ (" $A$ or $B ")$
$A \vee B$ is true if and only if at least one of $A$ or $B$ is true.

## Truth table

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \vee B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |

## Definition 1.7. Conditional $A \rightarrow B$ ("If $A$ then $B "$ ")

$A \rightarrow B$ is only false if $A$ is true but $B$ is false.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc|c} 
& A & B & A \rightarrow B  \tag{1.4}\\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & T & T & T \\
\text { Truth table } & T & F & F \\
& F & T & T \\
& F & F & T
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.8. Biconditional $A \leftrightarrow B$ (" $A$ if and only if $B$ ")
$A \leftrightarrow B$ is true if and only if $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow A$ is true.

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $A \leftrightarrow B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| Truth table | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
|  | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
|  | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |

If a conditional or biconditional is true, we have a short notation for this that is used throughout the whole field of mathematics:

## Definition 1.9. Implication and equivalence

If $A \rightarrow B$ is true, we call this an implication and write:

$$
A \Rightarrow B .
$$

If $A \leftrightarrow B$ is true, we call this an equivalence and write:

$$
A \Leftrightarrow B .
$$

This means that we speak of equivalence of $A$ and $B$ if the truth values in the truth table
are exactly the same. For example, we have

$$
A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow(A \rightarrow B) \wedge(B \rightarrow A)
$$

Now one can ask: What to do with truth-tables?


Truth table

| $A$ | $B$ | $\neg A$ | $\neg B$ | $\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | F |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

Therefore:

$$
(A \rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow \quad(\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) .
$$

This is the proof by contraposition:

## Contraposition

$$
\text { If } A \Rightarrow B \text {, then also } \neg B \Rightarrow \neg A \text {. }
$$

## Rule of thumb: Contraposition

To get the contraposition $A \Rightarrow B$, you should exchange $A$ and $B$ and set $a \neg$-sign in front of both: $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$.
It is clear: The contraposition of the contraposition is again $A \Rightarrow B$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { If there is fog, we have poor visibility. } \\
A \rightarrow B
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If there is no poor visibility, then there is nafoy. } \\
& \qquad \text { IB } \rightarrow 7 A
\end{aligned}
$$

The contraposition is an example of a deduction rule, which basically tells us how to get new true proposition from other true propositions. The most important deduction rules are given just by using the implication.

| Modes pones |
| :--- |
| If $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A$ is true, then also $B$ is true. |

1.1 Logic and sets


Chain syllogism
If $A \Rightarrow B$ and $B \Rightarrow C$, then also $A \Rightarrow C$.

| $A B C$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $T$ |  |
| $T$ |  |
| $\frac{T}{t}$ |  |
| $\frac{T}{t}$ |  |

Reduction ad absurdum
If $A \Rightarrow B$ and $A \Rightarrow \neg B$, then $\neg A$ is true.

| $A$ | $B \rightarrow B$ | $A \rightarrow \neg B$ | $\neg A$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $T$ | $A$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |  |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |  |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $E$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $E$ |

Exercise 1.10. Let "All birds can fly" be a true proposition (axiom). Are the following deductions correct?

$$
\mathrm{Bi}_{i} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~F}
$$

- If Seagulls are birds, then Seagulls can fly.

$$
S \Rightarrow B_{i} \quad S \Rightarrow F
$$

- If Penguins are birds, then Penguins can fly.

$$
P \Rightarrow D_{i}
$$

$$
P \Rightarrow F
$$

- If Butterflies are birds, then Butterflies can fly.

$$
B_{u} \Rightarrow B_{i} \quad B_{u} \Rightarrow F
$$

- If Butterflies can fly, then Butterflies are birds.

$$
B_{u} \Rightarrow \mp \quad B_{n} \Rightarrow B_{i}
$$

